## LOCAL OFFICE STUDY / APRIL 20 - 21, 2008

Table 2-1 QUESTION 1:

Now, I would like to read you a list of names of different people active in politics from around here. For each one, please tell m unfavorable impression of that person. If I name someone you have never heard of or don't know too much about, just tell me and we'

Candidate

BANNER 1

			BALLOT							IMPOR'I'AN'I' PROBLEMS						CANDI	DATE 1	MAGE				
		TOTAL	DEF CAND	PROB CAND	TOTAL CAND	LEAN/ UND	TOTAL OPP	PROB OPP	DEF CAND	HEALTH	ECON	OTHER	GRWTH	GOV'T	TAXES	TOTAL HEARD OF	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	Th	is for	mat o	create
В	ASE=TOTAL SAMPLE	400 100%	107 27%	52 13%	159 40%	67 17%	165 41%	55 14%	111 28%	100 25%	82 21%	65 16%	54 13%	31 8%	14 4%	318 80%	92 23%	154 39%	un	clutte	red a	and e
*	*D/S (FAVORABLE - UNFAVORABLE)	-62 -15%	-77 -72%	-25 -49%	-102 -64%	-11 -16%	50 30%	_ 18	49 44%	-3 -3%	-8 -9%	-5 -8%	-19 -35%	-1 -2%	-5 -36%	-62 -19%	92 100%	-154 -100%				
T -	OTAL HEARD OF	318 80%	90 85%	46 89%	137 86%	44 65%	Ne	t Ro	ws:					26 84%	10 70왕	318 100%	92 100%	154 100%				
T -	OTAL FAVORABLE	92 23%	1 1%	4 8%	5 3%	7 11%	"To	ор-Во	ox/Bo	ttom-Box" or						92 29%	92 100%	-	220	±±0	110	220
Τ	OTAL UNFAVORABLE	154 39%	78 73%	30 56%	107 68%	18 27%	nei imi	tted p	points entec	can t in bo	e th the	e stuł	2	9 29%	7 50%	154 48%	-	154 100%	130 45%	30 76%	48 33%	160 40왕
	STRONGLY FAVORABLE	71 18%	-	2 4%	2 1%	2 2%	rov	vs ar	nd in t	he ba	nner	o tur		4 12% 99	1 9%	71 22%	71 77%	_	64 22%	4 9왕	52 35%	63 16%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	21 5%	1 1%	2 4%	3 2%	6 9%	col	umn	S.					5 15%	1 5%	21 7%	21 23%	_	19 7%	1 2%	12 8%	24 6%
	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	77 19%	20 19%	17 32%	37 23%	14 20%								5 15%	3 19%	77 24%	-	77 50응	63 22%	6 16%	31 21%	81 20%
	STRONGLY UNFAVORABLE	77 19%	58 54%	13 24%	70 44%	4 6%								4 14%	4 30%	77 24%	-	77 50응	67 23%	24 61%	17 12%	79 20号
	HEARD OF/NO OPINION	72 18%	11 10%	13 25%	24 15%	18 27%								9 29%	1 7왕	72 23%	-	_	47 16%	4 10%	22 15%	74 19%
Ν	EVER HEARD OF	81 20응	17 15%	6 11%	22 14%	23 34%								5 16%	4 25%	-	-	-	29 10%	1 3%	13 9%	78 20%
R	EFUSED	1	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1 4%	- -	-	-	-	-	-	1
*	*RATIO (FAVORABLE / UNFAVORABLE)	0.6	-	0.1	-	0.4	2.8	1.0	5.8	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.3	0.9	0.3	0.6	-	-	0.6	0.1	1.3	0.5

**Reading Our Crosstabs:** Percentages are vertically based except for the base row, which is horizontally based

es easy to read.

## Table 5-1 QUESTION 2:

Now, I would like to read you a list of names of different people active in politics from around here. For each one, please tell me whether you have a favorable or unfavorable impression of that person. If I name someone you have never heard of or don't know too much about, just tell me and we'll skip to the next question.

Opponent

BANNER 1

								TMDO	PTANT	ANT PROBLEMS				CANDIDATE IMAGE			OPPONENT IMA		DATA		
	DEF PROB TOTAL CAND CAND				Difference Scores: Denoted by **D/S, the						THER	GRWTH	GOV'T	TAXES	TOTAL HEARD OF	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	TOTAL HEARD OF	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	UNWGT
BASE=TOTAL SAMPLE	400 100%	107 27%	52 13%	diffe Ex.	erenc Row	e bet A – F	wee Row	n two B	rows	•	65 16%	54 13%	31 8%	14 4%	318 80%	92 23%	154 39%	290 72%	40 10%	147 37%	400 100%
**D/S (FAVORABLE - UNFAVORABLE)	-107 -27%	18 17%	-12 -22%	Rat	ios:	Deno	ted	by **F	Ratio,		-7 -11%	-6 -12%	-10 -32%	-5 -35%	-96 -30%	-60 -65%	-18 -12%	-107 -37%	40 100%	-147 -100%	-106 -26%
TOTAL HEARD OF	290 72%	81 76%	34 65%	Fx	Row	A ÷	een Row	IWO I B	ows.		40 61%	37 69%	24 78%	11 73%	260 82응	83 90%	130 84%	290 100%	40 100%	147 100%	288 72응
TOTAL FAVORABLE	40 10%	30 29%	3 6%	_/							11 17%	7 13%	2 6%	1 5%	38 12%	4 5%	30 20%	40 14%	40 100%	-	39 10%
TOTAL UNFAVORABLE	147 37%	13 12%	15 28%	Bot	h diff os ca	erenc n pro	e so vide	cores auicl	and k and		18 28%	13 24%	12 38%	6 40%	134 42%	64 70%	48 31%	147 51%	-	147 100%	145 36%
STRONGLY FAVORABLE	19 5%	15 14%	3 5%	pov	verful	findi	ings to analysts ers.				6 9%	3 6%	1 3%	-	19 6%	4 4%	13 8%	19 7%	19 49%	-	18 5%
SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	20 5%	16 15%	1 1%	and	othe	er use					5 8%	4 7%	1 3%	1 5%	19 6%	1 1%	17 11%	20 7왕	20 51%	-	21 5%
SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	75 19%	12 11%	14 27%	26 16%	8 12%	41 25%	19 35%	21 19%	19 20%	19 23%	9 14%	8 14%	5 16%	5 34%	69 22%	22 24%	37 24%	75 26%	-	75 51%	76 19%
STRONGLY UNFAVORABLE	72 18%	1%	1 2%	2 1%	3 4%	67 41%	9 17%	58 52%	25 25%	15 18%	9 14%	6 10%	7 22%	1 6%	66 21%	42 46%	11 7%	72 25%	-	72 49%	69 17%
HEARD OF/NO OPINION	103 26%	38 35%	16 30%	53 33%	20 29%	26 16%	16 29%	11 10%	23 23%	23 28%	10 16%	17 31%	10 33%	4 28%	88 28%	15 16%	52 34%	103 36%	-	-	104 26%
NEVER HEARD OF	110 27%	26 24%	19 35%	45 28%	33 49%	30 18%	S	pecia	al Poi	nts:				3 3%	58 18%	9 10%	24 16%	-	-	-	111 28%
REFUSED	1	Ξ	-	-	1 1%	-	C th	often o Dese r	called	com show	bo p v the	oints, e case	25	1 48	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 _
**RATIO (FAVORABLE / UNFAVORABLE)	0.3	2.4	0.2	1.2	0.3	-	W Ci	here onver	two o ge.	r mo	re va	ariable	es	.1	0.3	0.1	0.6	0.3	-	-	0.3
FAVORABLE BOTH CANDIDATE/ OPPONENT	4 1%		1 3%	1 1%	-	1	Е	x. 16 <sup>0</sup>	% of c	ases	s rep	reser	nt	1	4 1%	4 5%	-	4 1%	4 11%	-	4 1%
FAVORABLE CANDIDATE/NOT OPPONENT	64 16%		2 3%	2 1왕	2 2%	61 37%	а	calcu	lated	varia	able of those			2 1응	64 20응	64 70%	-	64 22%	-	64 44%	60 15%
FAVORABLE OPPONENT/NOT CANDIDATE	30 8%	28 27%	1 1%	29 18%	1 2%	-	W O	rith a i ne ca	tavora ndida	ible ( te bu	opini It not	on of t his		1 5%	30 9%	-	30 20%	30 10%	30 76%	-	30 8%
UNFAVORABLE BOTH CANDIDATE/ OPPONENT	48 12%	10 9%	12 24%	22 14%	5 7%	20 12%	0	ppone	ent.						48 15%	-	48 31%	48 17%	-	48 33%	50 13%

DATAWISE